

*Refinement* 



#### Table 1. *Selected geometric parameters* ( $\AA$ )



 $\frac{1}{3} + x - y, x - \frac{1}{3}, \frac{2}{3} - z$ ; (iv)  $1 - y, x - y, z$ . The remaining residual electron density is concentrated around the Pt ion at a distance of 0.65 A. Structure solution and refinement were performed on a Silicon Graphics  $INDIGO<sup>2</sup>$  computer using the *TEXSAN* (Molecular Structure Corporation,

1993) structure solution program library.

The authors would like to thank Professor R. D. Adams for the use of his diffractometer. This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (grant **No.** DMR: 9523157).

Supplementary data for this paper are available from the IUCr electronic archives (Reference: BR1172). Services for accessing these data are described at the back of the journal.

#### **References**

- Bergerhoff, G. & Schmitz-Dumont, O. (1956). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*  **284,** 10-19.
- Carlson, V. A. & Stacy, A. M. (1992). *J. Solid State Chem.* **96,** 332- 343.
- Cazya, R. (1970). *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* 375, 61-64.
- Hodeau, J. L., Tu, H. Y., Bordet, P., Fournier, T., Strobel, P., Marezio, M. & Chandrashekar, G. V. (1992). *Acta Cryst.* B48, i-1 i.
- McDaniel, C. L. (1972). J. *Am. Ceram. Soc.* **55,** 426-428.
- Molecular Structure Corporation (1993). *TEXSAN. Single Crystal Structure Analysis Software.* Version 1.6. MSC, 3200 Research Forest Drive, The Woodlands, TX 77381, USA.
- Nguygen, T. N., Lee, P. T. & zur Loye, H.-C. (1996). *Science,* 271, 489-491.
- North, A. C. T., Phillips, D. C. & Mathews, F. S. (1968). *Acta Cryst.*  A24, 351-359.
- Ohsato, H., Sugimura, T. & Kageyama, K. (1981). J. *Crystal Growth,*  51, 1-5.

Randall, J. J. & Katz, L. (1959). *Acta Cryst.* 12, 519-521. Wilkinson, A. P. & Cheetham, A. K. (1989). *Acta Cryst.* C45, 1672- 1674. Wilkinson, A. P., Cheetham, A. K., Kunnman, W. & Kvick, Å. (1991).

*Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem. 28,* 453-459. Zachariasen, W. H. (1968). *Acta Cryst.* A24, 212-216.

*Acta Cryst.* (1997). C53, 1741-1743

# **Dibarium Magnesium Phosphate**

F. LUCAS, G. WALLEZ, S. JAULMES, A. ELFAKIR AND M. QUARTON

*Cristallochimie du Solide, CNRS-URA 1388, Universitg Pierre et Marie Curie, 4 Place Jussieu, 75252 Paris CEDEX 05, France. E-mail: syj@ccr.jussieu.fr* 

*(Received 25 November 1996; accepted 4 June 1997)* 

# **Abstract**

 $Ba<sub>2</sub>Mg(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  is found to be isostructural with  $Ba<sub>2</sub>Ni(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ . The structure can be described as a threedimensional framework of  $MgO_6$  octahedra and  $PO_4$ tetrahedra generating tunnels which accommodate Ba atoms.

## **Comment**

Compounds with the general formula  $A_2Ni(PO_4)_2$ ,  $A =$ Sr, Ba, have been investigated recently because of their potential magnetic properties (Elbali, Boukhari, Holt & Aride, 1993; Elbali *et al.,* 1994). Most of the structural features of analogous diamagnetic compounds where Ni is replaced by Zn or Mg are still unknown, although the existence of such compounds was reported some time ago (Hoffman, 1965).

A powder pattern of  $Ba<sub>2</sub>Mg(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ , indexed from the approximate parameters measured from single-crystal diffraction photographs, showed it to be isostructural with  $Ba<sub>2</sub>Ni(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ . The systematic absences are consistent with space group  $P2_1/n$ . As in the case of  $Ba_2Ni(PO_4)$ ,  $Ba_2Mg(PO_4)$  has as a non-compact structure  $(23.6 \text{ Å}^3$  per O atom). The framework is based on bipolyhedral MgP $(1)O_8$  entities: the MgO<sub>6</sub> octahedron shares an edge with the  $P(1)O_4$  tetrahedron (Fig. 1).

 $P(1)O<sub>4</sub>$  appears to be a fairly regular tetrahedron. The  $MgO<sub>6</sub>$  octahedron is slightly distorted because one of the two  $O$  atoms,  $O(12)$ , forming the common edge is only weakly bound to the  $M_k$  atom: 0.19 valence units (v.u.) for  $O(12)$ , compared to  $0.36\pm0.02$  v.u. for the other five. Inclusion of the sixth O atom in the coordination polyhedron of Mg is the result of a choice between two possible descriptions: distorted octahedron or square-based pyramid. The short distance

© 1997 International Union of Crystallography Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved



Fig. 1. A molecular representation of  $Ba<sub>2</sub>Mg(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$ . Displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 90% probability level.

 $O(12) \cdot O(13)$  [2.444 (7) Å] justifies the first choice and most likely represents an effective 'screening' of intercationic repulsions between edge-sharing  $PO<sub>4</sub>$  and MgO6 polyhedra.

The  $MgP(1)O_8$  units are corner connected, forming rings of four polyhedra organized in a-oriented chains. The regular  $P(2)O<sub>4</sub>$  tetrahedra connect neighbouring chains (Fig. 2).

The larger Ba atoms occupy sites in the  $a$ -oriented tunnels of the O-atom framework. The coordination polyhedra are irregular and the number of neighbours was determined by applying the empirical model of Brown & Wu (1976) (Fig. 3). Computation of bond strengths shows that  $Ba(2)$  is surrounded by seven O atoms forming a monocapped trigonal prism. For Ba(l), an eighth neighbour contributes 0.12 v.u. and has to be taken into account, giving a bicapped trigonal prism.



Fig. 2. The connections between the  $(MgO_6)$  octahedra and  $(PO_4)$ tetrahedra.



Fig. 3. A projection onto the (100) plane. The grey circles represent Ba atoms. The O-atom environments are shown for two Ba atoms.

## **Experimental**

 $Ba<sub>2</sub>Mg(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  powder was synthesized by solid-state reaction of stoichiometric amounts of BaCO<sub>3</sub>, MgO and NH<sub>4</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>. The mixture was heated at 1173 K in a platinum crucible for 24 h, then cooled to room temperature. Single crystals were grown from a melt of previously prepared  $Ba<sub>2</sub>Mg(PO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>$  with barium fluoride (30% mol). The charge was heated above the melting point (1373 K), then slowly cooled (5 K h<sup>-1</sup>) to room temperature.

*Crystal data* 



*Data collection* 

Syntex Nicolet *P3F* diffractometer  $\theta$ /2 $\theta$  scans Absorption correction: analytical (de Meulenaer & Tompa, 1965)  $T_{\text{min}} = 0.199$ ,  $T_{\text{max}} = 0.290$ 1789 measured reflections

#### *Refinement*

Refinement on F  $R = 0.033$ 

1789 independent reflections  $\theta_{\text{max}} = 27.6^{\circ}$  $h=-6\rightarrow 6$  $k = 0 \rightarrow 11$  $l=0\rightarrow20$ 3 standard reflections every 60 reflections intensity decay: 2.1%

Extinction correction: Becker & Coppens (1975)

 $wR = 0.038$ **S = 1.95 1789 reflections 119 parameters**   $w = 1/\sigma^2(F)$  $(\Delta/\sigma)_{\text{max}} = 0.0053$  $\Delta \rho_{\text{max}} = 0.9 \text{ e A}^{-3}$  $\Delta \rho_{\text{min}} = -0.7 \text{ e } \text{\AA}^{-3}$  **Extinction coefficient:**   $1.17(4) \times 10^{-6}$ **Scattering factors from**  *International Tables for X-ray Crystallography*  (Vol. IV)

Table 1. *Selected geometric parameters* ( $\AA$ ,  $\circ$ )

| $Ba(1) - O(13')$                          | 2.641(2)   | $Mg = O(11^{14})$              | 2.046(3)   |
|---|------------|--------------------------------|------------|
| $Ba(1) - O(12n)$                          | 2.702(2)   | $Mg$ – $O(21)$                 | 2.048(2)   |
| $Ba(1)$ —O(22 <sup>111</sup> )            | 2.703(2)   | $Mg = O(23n)$                  | 2.059(2)   |
| $Ba(1) - O(22)$                           | 2.732(2)   | $Mg = O(14^x)$                 | 2.061(2)   |
| $Ba(1) - O(24N)$                          | 2.823(3)   | $Mg$ —O(13)                    | 2.084(3)   |
| $Ba(1) - O(11^{v})$                       | 2.917(3)   | $Mg - O(12)$                   | 2.390(3)   |
| $Ba(1)$ —O(14 <sup>y</sup> )              | 2.952(2)   | $P(1)$ —O(12)                  | 1.512(2)   |
| $Ba(1) - O(23iii)$                        | 3.114(3)   | $P(1) - O(11)$                 | 1.513(2)   |
| $Ba(2) - O(12)$                           | 2.731(3)   | $P(1)$ —O(13)                  | 1.534(2)   |
| $Ba(2) - O(21^{v_1})$                     | 2.732(2)   | $P(1) - O(14)$                 | 1.552(2)   |
| $Ba(2) - O(23)$                           | 2.733(3)   | $P(2)$ —O(21)                  | 1.515(2)   |
| $Ba(2)$ —O(13 <sup>v<sub>1</sub></sup> )  | 2.747(2)   | $P(2) - O(22)$                 | 1.517(2)   |
| $Ba(2) - O(14)$                           | 2.776(2)   | $P(2)$ —O(23)                  | 1.545(2)   |
| $Ba(2)$ —O(24 <sup>v<sub>II</sub></sup> ) | 2.789(2)   | $P(2)$ —O(24)                  | 1.549(2)   |
| $Ba(2)$ —O(24 <sup>VIII</sup> )           | 2.898(2)   |                                |            |
| $O(11^{14}) - Mg - O(21)$                 | 173.88 (9) | $O(21)$ —Mg—O(12)              | 88.01 (8)  |
| $O(11^{14}) - Mg - O(23^{11})$            | 85.98 (8)  | $O(23^{n})$ – Mg – $O(14^{x})$ | 112.27(8)  |
| $O(11^{1k}) - Mg - O(14^{k})$             | 89.44 (8)  | $O(23^{n})$ – Mg – $O(13)$     | 99.03 (8)  |
| $O(11^{18}) - Mg - O(13)$                 | 86.27(8)   | $O(23^{n})$ – Mg – $O(12)$     | 164.35 (7) |
| $O(11^{14}) - Mg - O(12)$                 | 95.34 (8)  | $O(14^{x})$ —Mg—O(13)          | 148.02(8)  |
| $O(21)$ —Mg— $O(2311)$                    | 89.42 (8)  | $O(14^{x})$ – Mg – $O(12)$     | 83.35(8)   |
| $O(21)$ —Mg—O(14 <sup>x</sup> )           | 96.04 (8)  | $O(13)$ —Mg—O(12)              | 65.56(7)   |
| $O(21)$ —Mg— $O(13)$                      | 90.48 (8)  |                                |            |

**Symmetry codes:** (i)  $x - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - y, z - \frac{1}{2}$ ; (ii)  $\frac{1}{2} - x, y - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2} - z$ ; **(iii)**  $1 - x$ ,  $1 - y$ ,  $-z$ ; **(iv)**  $-x$ ,  $1 - y$ ,  $-z$ ; **(v)**  $\frac{3}{2} - x$ ,  $y - \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} - z$ ; **(vi)**  $\frac{3}{2} - x$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + y$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (vii)  $\frac{1}{2} - x$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} + y$ ,  $\frac{1}{2} - z$ ; (viii)  $1 + x$ , y, z; (ix)  $1 - x$ ,  $1 - y$ ,  $1 - z$ ; (x)  $x - 1$ , y, z.

Program(s) **used to solve** structure: *MULTAN88* **(Debaerdemaeker** *et al.,* **1988). Program(s) used to refine structure:**  *ORXFLS* (Busing, 1971, **locally modified** by P. Laruelle). **Molecular graphics:** *ORTEPIII* (Burkett & Johnson, 1996); *MOLVIEW* (Cense, 1990). **Geometric calculations:** *ORFFE*  (Busing, Martin & Levy, 1964).

**The authors thank A. Mazurier (Laboratoire de**  Physique de la Faculté des Sciences pharmaceutiques et biologiques, Université René Descartes, Paris) for in**tensity data collection.** 

**Supplementary data for this paper** are available **from the** IUCr **electronic archives (Reference:** TA! 147). **Services for accessing these**  data are described at the back **of the** journal.

#### **References**

Becker, P. J. & Coppens, P. (1975). *Acta Cryst.* A31,417-425.

Brown, I. D. & Wu, K. K. (1976). *Acta Cryst.* B32, 1957-1959. Burkett, M. N. & Johnson, C. K. (1996). *ORTEPIII.* Report ORNL-

6895. Oak **Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee,** USA.

- Busing, W. R. (1971). *Acta Cryst.* A27, 683-684.
- **Busing,** W. R., Martin, K. O. & Levy, H. A. (1964). *ORFFE.* Report ORNL-TM-306. Oak **Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee,** USA. **Cense,** J. M. (1990). *Stud. Phys. Theor. Chem.* 71,763-766.
- **Debaerdemaeker,** T., Germain, G., Main, P., Refaat, L. S., Tate, C. & Woolfson, M. M. (1988). *Computer Program for the Automatic Solution of Crystal Structures from X-ray Diffraction Data.* **Universities of** York, England, and Louvain, **Belgium.**

© 1997 **International Union of** Crystallography Printed in Great Britain - all rights reserved

Elbali, B., Boukhari, A., Aride, J., Belaiche, M., Abraham, F. & Drillon, M. (1994). *Eur. J. Solid State Inorg. Chem.* 31, 61-73.

Elbali, B., Boukhari, A., Holt, E. M. & Aride, J. (1993). *Acta Cryst.*  C49, 1131-1133.

Hoffman, M. V. (1965). *J. Electrochem. Soc.* **110,** 1223-1227.

**Meulenaer, J. de** & Tompa, H. (1965). *Acta Cryst.* 19, 1014-1018.

*Acta Cryst.* (1997). C53, 1743-1745

# A New Structural Variation of Nb<sub>3</sub>YX<sub>7</sub> **Compounds: Monoclinic Nb3SI7**

PETER JOSEF SCHMIDT AND GERHARD THIELE

Institut für Anorganische und Analytische Chemie, Univer*sit,it Freiburg, Albertstr. 21a, D-79104 Freiburg, Germany. E-mail: schmidt@ sapphire.chemie.uni-freiburg.de* 

*(Received 18 March 1997; accepted 2 June 1997)* 

#### **Abstract**

Monoclinic triniobium sulfide heptaiodide,  $m-Nb_3SI_7$ , **was obtained by reaction of the elements in evacuated sealed fused silica tubes at 1105 K. The structure**  comprises  $[Nb_3SI_{10}]$ <sup>3-</sup> clusters containing Nb triangles bicapped by  $\mu_3$ -I and  $\mu_3$ -S atoms. The clusters are **linked by terminal I atoms to form dimeric units with a crystallographic center of symmetry. These dimeric units are cross-linked by the remaining terminal I atoms to form a novel two-dimensional structure.** 

#### **Comment**

Triangular  $Nb_3$  cluster compounds  $Nb_3X_8$  and  $Nb_3YX_7$  $(X = \text{Cl}, \text{Br}, \text{I}; Y = \text{S}, \text{Se}, \text{Te})$  are well known (Simon **& yon Schnering, 1966; Furuseth, H6nle, Miller & von Schnering, 1988; Miller, 1995). Compounds with composition Nb3SI7 show a remarkable structural diversity with different cluster units (Miller & Lin, 1994; Miller,**  1995). The bicapped 42 electron cluster  $[Nb_3SI_{10}]^{3-}$ , in contrast to the 42 electron clusters in  $[Nb_3SI_{12}]^{5-}$  and  $[Nb<sub>3</sub>S<sub>2</sub>I<sub>11</sub>]<sup>6-</sup>$ , could only be stabilized in the solid state by co-condensation with NbI<sub>5</sub> monomers to form a further two-dimensional network of composition  $Nb_3SI_7$ .

The title compound, monoclinic  $Nb_3SI_7$ , which was **discovered during our search for suitable layered materials to investigate electronic surface structures, is built**  up exclusively from bicapped  $[Nb_3SI_{10}]^{3-}$  clusters with **42 electrons. The double capping by S and I atoms leads to marked shortening of the Nb--Nb distances within the clusters [2.767 (1)-2.780 (1) A] in compari**son with the single-capped clusters in hexagonal  $Nb_3SI_7$